

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,830	09/772,830 01/30/2001		Frank K. Baker JR.	SC11150TH	2027	
23125	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
FREESCA LAW DEPA		CONDUCTOR, IN	NAMAZI, MEHDI			
		R LANE MD:TX32/F	PL02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T	X 78729			2189	 	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/772,830	BAKER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
7/28/- 7/2,	Mehdi Namazi	2189					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension f final Office action; or (2) as on, even if timely filed, may re	fee under 37 s set forth in (b) educe any				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of t	the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphane / anonamone (i	102 021).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendmer	nt canceling				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is i	necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attache	∌d.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowand	e because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1, 6, 12, 14, 16, 22, are 25 is not persuasive. A non-volatile memory by definition has non-volatile memory cells, therefore by adding a battery to Ram, every single cell of the Ram memory becomes a non-volatile memory. Examiner also points out to specification, page 3, lines 17-19, and figure 2, wherein applicant admits that "the storage elements 212 may be any suitable memory including but not limited to RAM (random access memory), ROM (read-only memory), EEPROM (electrical erasable programmable read-only memory), and the like.

MANO PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATE AMINER